



# Asset Management Challenges Blue Water Bridge

MEA Workshop Nov 17, 2023

### Asset Management Challenges for Large Bridges

- Defining Corporate Asset Management
- "If you have seen one bridge"
- Bridge Component Hierarchy Numbering System
- Developing GIS "Standards" for representing Large Bridges



#### **Corporate vs Tactical Asset Management**

In February 2021 – FBCL Created a new Asset Management Division

FBCL already does Asset Maintenance & Engineering well

We understand the specific needs and technical solutions

We deliver safe functional bridges

This is "Tactical" or "Technical" Asset Management



#### **Corporate vs Tactical Asset Management**

Corporate Asset Management Ensures that the Tactical Service Delivery Is Affordable & Sustainable for the Long Term



#### **Corporate vs Tactical Asset Management**

#### **Corporate Asset Management:**

- Improves Asset Life Cycle Analysis
- Develops Data Driven Decision Making
- Creates a 40 Year "Long Range" Financial Planning approach
- Coordinates and Aligns Asset Works across our 4 International Crossings

#### **Provides Digital Tools – GIS / Work Orders / Analytics**



### **One Bridge Paradigm ?**

### If you have Seen One Bridge... You have seen them All

ANY CITY or TOWN



Sarnia HWY 402





Ottawa

#### **BUT for Large FBCL Bridges it was Believed**



If you have seen ONE BRIDGE You have seen ONE BRIDGE



OR Have You..... Maybe Not??

We need to Compare and Analyze Uniquely Different Assets in a Common Way



### Asset Value & Life Cycles Municipal Bridges

- Most are < \$20 Million
- Life Cycles of 75 to 100 Years

Municipal Bridges Considered As Singular Assets



FBCL Large Bridges > \$2 Billion

- Main Components > \$20 Million
- Major Life Cycles >150 Years
- Minor Life Cycles 50 to 75 Years

Large Bridges Considered As Multiple Component Assets

**Bridge Component Challenges** 

FBCL's Digital Transformation started with a Full Inventory in GIS

But how do we show Large Bridges in a GIS?

What Components do we need to analyze as "Assets"?



### **Consider a Municipal GIS**

Bridges considered as a Singular Asset and shown as a "Dot" in the GIS

Clicking the "Dot" accesses the Asset Database







Image: Style
Default View
Image: Style
<td



**GIS for Large Bridges?** 

Toronto Gardiner Expressway

Multiple "Bridge" Components

Shown as 350+ Singular "Dots"

# Gardiner Expressway Example Each Span Component

Each Span Component is a "Bridge" within the City Inventory

What about the Piers?

Each "Bridge" is an Inverted "L"







### Halifax Harbour Bridges



- First of its Kind
- Digital Scan Model
- Full 3D GIS
- Inventory of Elements
- NOT its Components



### Every Beam, Girder, Tie, Bearing, Plate, Cable Included in Model



Able to Zoom

in to see

Element Level Detail



Able to Slice

through & see

Inside Hidden Elements



and MORE !!



#### **FBCL Challenge**

#### Find an Affordable 2D GIS Compromise



The Two Blue Water Bridges – Outline in GIS



Less is More....

For FBCL Bridges, analyzing "Component Assets" was used

A singular "dot" and singular asset analysis was not enough

Full 3D Modeling of every element is desirable but was too much

What Components does the Bridge Industry Commonly Consider?



### **FBCL Initial Policy**

- 5 Basic Component Groups
- Did not adequately identify Major & Minor Assets
- Did not adequately address the Useful Lives of Assets
- Did not effectively match the historical inspection records
- Did not reflect the Bi-National Component Definitions





#### Canadian BIM – 42 commonly used Components

#### (similar to OSIM)





### USA Federal Highway Administration (AASHTO) – 87 Commonly used Components



Both National systems were too complex



#### FBCL Recommended – 16 Components



Aligns with Canadian & USA Component "Groups"



Geo-Database Numbering – Bridges in GIS

Admin – Asset – Component - Element ABC – DDD – EEE – FFF

Example 116 – 161 – 309 - 121

BWB – First Bridge – Expansion Joint – Joint #121 at Pier #121

The creation of Unique Identifiers was an essential task BUT

Asset Numbering Hierarchies would be a topic for another day!



#### **GIS Standards for Bridge Components Do Not Exist**

With the Component Assets Defined and The Numbering System & Geo-Database Created

GIS Graphics and Symbologies were needed

**NEW GIS Graphics were created as a Proposed Standard** 



### **Concrete Substructure** – "Foundations"





FEDERAL BRIDGE CORPORATION Société des ponts fédéraux

#### Steel Substructure - "Piers" or "Bents"







### **Bearings**







### Steel Superstructure – "Spans"



FÉDERAL BRIDGE CORPORATION Société des ponts fédéraux



### Deck







### **Expansion Joints**









### Wearing Surface – Waterproofing & Asphalt







#### **Barriers** – Pedestrian & Vehicular



FÉDERAL BRIDGE CORPORATION Société des ponts fédéraux



#### **Protective Coatings** – Paint or Sealers





High

&



Low

FEDERAL BRIDGE CORPORATION SOCIÉTÉ DES PONTS FÉDÉRAU



Auxiliary Components – Minor features considered as "systems" on the entire bridge





### **Bridge Overview Graphic**





**Asset Management for Large Bridges** 

### Given the Component Assets & Numbering Hierarchy and Using these NEW GIS graphics

FBCL is now positioned to analyze all of its 10 Bridges



#### **FBCL** is willing to Share the Proposed Standards



Thanks for Listening....



**For More Information** 

George Elliott, P.Eng. Manager of Asset Planning The Federal Bridge Corporation Limited 1555 Venetian Boulevard Point Edward, ON, N7T 0A9

> gelliott@federalbridge.ca 226-402-1438 cell

